

Australian Capital Territory

Financial Management (Performance Criteria) Amendment 2007 (No 3)

Notifiable Instrument NI2007– 155

made under the

Financial Management Act 1996, s19D (Amendment of Performance Criteria)

1 Name of instrument

This instrument is the Financial Management (Performance Criteria) Amendment 2007 (No 3).

2 Commencement

This instrument commences on the day after notification.

3 Notice of Decisions

The performance criteria for the 2006-2007 financial year are amended as set out in the schedule.

4 Statement of reasons for amendments

Section 19D of the *Financial Management Act 1996* provides that the Minister may by instrument amend performance criteria. The current performance measures in Output Class 1.1 (see attached schedule), are unable be reported and are therefore being deleted.

John Hargreaves MLA
Minister for Territory and Municipal Services

31 May 2007

Financial Management (Performance Criteria) Amendment 2007 (No 3)

Notifiable instrument NI2007–

made under the

Financial Management Act 1996, s19D Amendment of Performance Criteria

I amend the following performance criteria for the 2006-07 financial year.

Department	Output Class and Output	Description of performance criteria	Targets	
			2006-07 Budget papers	2006-07 Amended target
Department of Territory and Municipal Services	Output Class 1: Municipal Services Output 1.1: Information Services	Number of bookstart kits provided for infants (0-1 years)	3,500	Delete measure
		Percentage of children (0-5 years) registered as library members	15%	Delete measure
		Total cost of early intervention	\$120,000	Delete measure

Section 19D - Statement of Reasons for Amendment

This statement outlines the reasons for the amendment of performance criteria under section 19D of the *Financial Management Act 1996*.

The data to measure these indicators is not able to be systematically captured in a manner to enable an accurate presentation of results. Furthermore these measures are not relevant to mainstream library services.

Establishment of manual systems to collect this data would be time consuming, costly and may not be sufficiently robust to meet audit scrutiny.