

# Planning and Development (Conditional Environmental Significance Opinion – Block 19, Section 28 Braddon – 7-Eleven Sign Upgrade) Notice 2018

Notifiable Instrument NI2018–426

Made under the

Planning and Development Act 2007, s 138AD (Requirements in relation to environmental significance opinions)

---

## 1 Name of instrument

This instrument is the *Planning and Development (Conditional Environmental Significance Opinion – Block 19, Section 28 Braddon – 7-Eleven Sign Upgrade) Notice 2018*.

## 2 Conditional Environmental Significance Opinion

(1) On 30 July 2018, the planning and land authority, pursuant to section 138AB(4) of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* (the **Act**), gave the Applicant a conditional environmental significance opinion in relation to replacement, on Block 19, Section 28 of Braddon, of a proposed removal of the existing scrolling poster board and replacement with a digital LCD display screen.

(2) In this section:

***Conditional environmental significance opinion*** means the opinion in the schedule.

*Note* Under section 138AD(6) of the Act, the conditional environmental significance opinion and this notice expire 18 months after the day the notice is notified.

Ben Ponton  
Chief Planning Executive  
3 August 2018



**ACT**  
Government

Environment, Planning and  
Sustainable Development

## **ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OPINION**

An application for an Environmental Significance Opinion (ESO) has been received under section 138AA of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* (the Act). In accordance with section 138AB(4) of the Act, I provide the following environmental significance opinion:

### **APPLICANT**

Convenience Properties (Operations) Pty Ltd, as represented by KDC Pty Ltd, Kate Young, Senior Planner.

### **PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposal involves removing the existing scrolling poster board and replace the board with a digital LCD display screen.

### **LOCATION**

Block 19, Section 28, Braddon.

### **MATTERS TO WHICH THIS OPINION APPLIES**

This opinion applies only to the development proposal as described in the application numbered ESO-201800029 and lodged on 14 June 2018.

### **OPINION**

Provided the works are undertaken in a manner consistent with the following conditions, they are unlikely to cause a significant adverse environmental impact.

This opinion is granted subject to the following conditions made under s138AB(4) of the Act.

- All soil subject to disposal from the site must be assessed in accordance with Environment Protection Authority (EPA) Information Sheet 4 – “Requirements for the reuse and disposal of contaminated soil in the ACT”.
- No soil is to be disposed from site without EPA approval.

Attached is a Statement of Reasons for the decision.

Brett Phillips

Delegate of the planning and land authority

30 July 2018

## STATEMENT OF REASONS

The proposed development is a proposal mentioned in Schedule 4 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* – development proposal requiring an EIS, specifically:

Part 4.3 Item 7 - proposal involving land included on the register of contaminated sites under the *Environment Protection Act 1997*.

The proponent is seeking an environmental significance opinion to remove the proposal from the impact track on the grounds that the proposal is not likely to have a significant adverse environmental impact, and has applied to the planning and land authority for an opinion to that effect.

### Meaning of *significant* adverse environmental impact

An adverse environmental impact is *significant* if—

- (a) the environmental function, system, value or entity that might be adversely impacted by a proposed development is significant; or
- (b) the cumulative or incremental effect of a proposed development might contribute to a substantial adverse impact on an environmental function, system, value or entity.

In deciding whether an adverse environmental impact is *significant*, the following matters must be taken into account:

- (a) the kind, size, frequency, intensity, scope and length of time of the impact;
- (b) the sensitivity, resilience and rarity of the environmental function, system, value or entity likely to be affected.

In deciding whether a development proposal is likely to have a significant adverse environmental impact it does not matter whether the adverse environmental impact is likely to occur on the site of the development or elsewhere.

## CONSULTATION WITH ENTITIES

In deciding whether a development proposal is likely to have a significant adverse environmental impact the planning and land authority consulted with the following entities, in accordance with s138AA (3) of the Act.

### Work Safety Commissioner

The Work Safety Commissioner did not have any comments in relation to the proposal.

### Environment Protection Authority

The EPA recommended conditions relating to activities that will be conducted on site. These conditions have been incorporated into the opinion.

**Emergency Services Commissioner**

The Emergency Services Commissioner did not have any comments in relation to the proposal.

**Director-General of ACT Health**

The Director-General of ACT Health did not have any comments in relation to the proposal.

**POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

Block 19 Section 28 Braddon is identified on the register of contaminated sites.

The documentation submitted as part of the application in relation to Block 19 Section 28 Braddon has sufficiently identified that works will be unlikely to impact on the registered contaminated site.

It has been demonstrated that if the works are undertaken in a manner consistent with the above conditions attached to the ESO, they are unlikely to cause a significant adverse environmental impact.